Are Biosimilar Cell Therapy Products Possible?

Christopher A Bravery

cbravery@advbiols.com



Consulting on Advanced Biologicals

Introduction

- Overview of principles of biosimilar products
 - Key product characteristics necessary for biosimilars
- Scientific and Regulatory barriers
- Practical considerations
- Covering
 - Patient-Specific (Autologous)
 - Off-the-Shelf (Allogeneic/xenogeneic)
- Conclusions

 Arguments are likely to broadly apply to US BLA's but the specifics have not been explored.



Consulting on Advanced Biologicals

Why am I asking the question?

- Investors, CEO's etc often mention data protection periods in relation to cellular products.
- Data protection means your clinical data (public domain) can be borrowed for generics and biosimilars IF you can demonstrate the active substance is equivalent (or biosimilar).
- Means an abridged MA is possible;
 - Generics, article 10.1
 - Biosimilar, article 10.4*
 - Assuming no patents are infringed.

^{*}Directive 2001/83/EC



Why Biosimilar and not Generic?

Physicochemical characterisation alone is not adequate to demonstrate the quality of biological medicinal products.

- From 2001/83/EC; Annex I (as amended by Directive 2003/63/EC), part I:
- A biological medicinal product is a product, the active substance of which is a biological substance. A biological substance is a substance that is produced by or extracted from a biological source and that needs for its characterisation and the determination of its quality a combination of physico-chemical-biological testing, together with the production process and its control.



Consulting on Advanced Biologicals

Biosimilar Paradigm

- To confirm the results of physicochemical measurements and bioassays (e.g. potency), some non-clinical and clinical data are necessary.
 - confirm safety
 - confirm toxicity (differing process-related impurities, differing excipients etc)

Guideline Recommendations

Product Guideline	PK/PD	Efficacy
Recombinant Erthropoletins EMEA/CHMP/BMWP/94 528/2005	Single dose cross-over studies, normal volunteers, s.c. & i.v. Reticulocye count recommended pharmacodynamic marker	At least 2 adequately powered, randomised, parallel group clinical trials Patients with renal anaemia recommended (most sensitive model).
Low MW Heparins EMEA/CHMP/BMWR/) 1 8264/2007	Convention PK not possible (heterogenous DS). Suggest absorption/elimination characteristics incl. anti FXa,:FIA as surrogates. Also TFPI activity	Therapeutic equivalence in at least 1 adequately powered, randomised, double-blind, parallel group clinical trial. Prevention of venous or arterial thromboembolism, or venous thromboembolism.
Somatropin (rhGF) EMEA/CHMP/BMWP/94 528/2005	A single dose crossover study using s.c. administration Healthy volunteers (suppression of endogenous GH production suggested). GF-1 is the preferred PD marker	At least one adequately powered, randomised, parallel group clinical trial. Treatment-naïve children with GH deficiency. Comparative phase is at least 6 months (poss. 12 months).
Soluble human Insulin EMEA/CHMP/BMWP/32 775/2005	A single dose crossover study using s.c. administration in type1 diabetes. The double-blind, crossover hyperinsulinaemic euglycaemic clamp study.	Provided that clinical comparability can be concluded from PK and PD data, there is no anticipated need for efficacy studies on intermediary or clinical variables.
rh-IFN alpha EMEA/CHMP/BMWP/10 2046/2006	Single dose crossover studies, s.c & i.v in healthy volunteers. PD markers, such as β2 microglobulin, neopterin and serum 2′,5′-oligoadenylate synthetase activity	Treatment-naïve patients with chronic hepatitis C. Randomised, parallel group comparison against RMP, at least 48 weeks.

Practical Considerations Buying the Innovator Product

- Patient-specific products (autologous)
 - How would you get the innovator product?
 - Unlikely to be ethical to obtain donor material, split and send half to innovator and use half to make biosimilar and then..... randomise which they get?
- Cost of commercial products:
 - LAVIV will likely cost \$3,100 \$5,000*
 - Carticel: \$25,000
 - ChondroCelect: €20.000
 - Provenge \$93,000
 - co.don chondrosphere: €6,000
 - C-Cure for cardiac indications: €35.000
 - Heartcelligram: \$19,000



- Off-the-shelf (allogeneic) current prices:
 - Apligraf: \$1,250 (2007)
 - Apligraf® (\$34.47/cm²)
 - Dermagraft: \$1,425 per application
 - Dermagraft® (\$38.93/cm²)
 - Cartistem for cartilage repair: \$40,000 (500µl/cm² at 5x106/ml)
 - ~ \$8,000 for 1 million cells or 0.8 cent/cell.



Consulting on Advanced Biologicals

Practical Considerations Using the Innovator Product

- Regulator's magic 3 will not capture variability in batches
- Off-the-shelf definitely >3 different batches
 - May need to consider different donors (how would you know?)
- Patient-specific, maybe >30
- Unit size small so hard to do many tests,
 - especially the vital bioassays unless units can be pooled (same batch).
- Stability
 - Frozen, not such a problem since shelf-life likely to be long
 - Fresh, may not be time to do all tests; freezing would alter the product and invalidate analytics.

- Starting material (donation) need to know what material is donated
 - In many cases straightforward
 - In case of e.g. hESC, likely need to be the exact same hESC line since these differ considerably.
- Unclear MoA means difficult to design process without knowing the rationale used by innovator (may have changed)
 - Simple expansion retaining characteristics
 - Complex maturation/activation/(de-)differentiation



Consulting on Advanced Biologicals

Practical Considerations Process Development

- Where the release tests are different to the innovator, the regulators would not be sure they provided the same control
 - e.g. different marker for identity/purity (is DS the same?)
 - potency assay using different principle
 - Potency assays generally not quantitative and often 'surrogate' assays. In many cases this would make comparability of potency difficult/impossible.

- Complexity of active substance
 - How many characteristics would you need to compare?
 - How many bioassays
 - Non-clinical models more difficult than for proteins
 - Rapid rejection
 - Species differences
- Clinical
 - No PK/PD
 - Few validated biomarkers available generally
 - Most cell therapies take a long time to have effect
 - E.g. chondrocyte products how do you show equivalence?



Consulting on Advanced Biologicals

Conclusions

- Biosimilar Cell-Based Medicinal Products are not likely to be possible in the foreseeable future
- Data protection period are therefore irrelevant BUT
- Predictions are difficult, especially about the future.